

NOTIFICATION OF TERMINATION OF PARTICIPATION AND AMENDMENT REQUEST

Vassilis TSAOUSSIDIS
DEMOCRITUS UNIVERSITY OF THRACE
PANEPISTIMIOUPOLI RECTORATE BUILDING
69100 KOMOTINI
GREECE

European Commission
Communications Networks, Content and
Technology
Future Connectivity Systems
BU25 03/039
B-1049 Brussels Belgium

Subject: Horizon 2020 Framework Programme
Grant Agreement: 645124 — UMOBILE
Notification of termination of participation (Article 50.2 GA)
Amendment request (reference No AMD-645124-27)

Dear Madam/Sir,

On behalf of my consortium, I would like to **notify termination** of the **participation** of DUTH in the above-mentioned Grant Agreement for the following reason(s):

- for DUTH : UMOBILE consortium decided to terminate DUTH participation in the project in accordance with the provisions of Article 50.2.1, after DUTH administration's unilateral decision to suspend its participation in the project, thus blocking all project activities.

The opinion of the beneficiary(ies) concerned (or proof that this opinion has been requested in writing) is attached to this request.

The termination takes effect on the following date ('termination date'):

- for DUTH: date after the submission of this request

I also request an **amendment** of the Agreement (see attached).

It concerns the following changes:

1. Removal of a beneficiary whose participation was terminated
2. Addition of a new beneficiary
3. Change of coordinator
4. Changes of Annex 1 (description of the action)
5. Changes of Annex 2 (estimated budget of the action)
6. Change of bank account for payments

These change(s) are necessary for the following reason(s):

1) UMOBILE consortium decided to terminate DUTH participation in the project in accordance with the provisions of Article 50.2.1, after DUTH administration's unilateral decision to suspend its participation in the project, thus blocking all project activities.

2) UMOBILE consortium proposes a new beneficiary, Athena RC, to replace DUTH in the remaining tasks. Athena RC is also assuming the role of the new Project Coordinator, replacing DUTH.

3) Copelabs-Cofac third party, Cofac, has been added to the Consortium, as described in the Periodic Report:

The COPELABS research center is a non-profit private association funded by 5 members, 3 of which represent COFAC, the management entity of University Lusofona, where COPELABS is associated. Since the creation of the research lab the personnel from COFAC are seconded to COPELABS. COFAC hires all of the staff and then seconds/temporarily places it in COPELABS, under a certain project. The agreement signed by the COPELABS researchers stipulates COFAC as the employer, being the researcher associated to a specific project, such as UMOBILE.

The protocol between COFAC and COPELABS covers a period until 2020 (as COPELABS has been established as a unit until 2020). All the seconded personnel work in COPELABS premises (a building outside the university main campus). Based on this agreement, COPELABS have to pay COFAC for the time that the personnel dedicate to COPELABS. For this COPELABS performs monthly payments to COFAC referent to the researchers associated to running projects, such as UMOBILE.

This was not foreseen in the grant agreement, but it is consistent with UMOBILE workplan and causes no deviation to it.

The change can be found in Part B. Their personnel costs were also changed to actual costs, due to the third party inclusion (as also reported in the periodic report).

4) Work effort for TEKEVER, UCAM, AFA has been modified as described in the Periodic Report: For UCAM:

WP2: Estimation that 12 personmonths will be needed for WP2 instead of the 9 of the grant agreement. This increase will be needed since the team was relatively junior and needed some time to familiarise with the project.

WP4: Anticipation of additional 6 PMs for WP4. This is to accommodate the "extra work" UCAM is carrying out to explore how UMOBILE can provision low cost drones in crisis areas and to explore service migration aspects designed in this work package. Hence UCAM anticipates the extra PMs (there is enough budget since the staff that got recruited were junior compared to originally planned).

For TEKEVER:

The effort was distributed incorrectly at a proposal stage, since TEKEVER is leading task 2.3, having 1 PM allocated only for activity 2. Therefore, TEKEVER proposes to reallocate 2 PMs from WP5 to WP2.

For AFA:

WP2: plus 0.5 personmonths were needed for WP2.

5) D4.2 & D4.4 are now expected to be delivered on Month 30.

D4.2 - M24 to M30 - The final version of the flowlet congestion control deliverable deadline should be M30. However, in the DoW there is an error in the page 21 and 22, where the deadline is specified as M24. However in the description of the deliverables in the same DoW, the deadline is correct and it is specified by M30. In D4.1 (first version), we had the same problem (M12 instead

of M18), and we requested and submitted the deliverable by M18. Therefore, for consistency we request to submit the deliverable D4.2 by M30.

D4.4 - M28 to M30 - D4.4 (Set of QoS interfaces and algorithms) is deliverable of Task 4.1, that is not independent of the other deliverables of the same task and may include work related with D4.2 (flowlet congestion control). Therefore, we request to shift D4.4 from M28 to M30 to synchronize both deliverables and avoiding problems of inconsistency between the deliverables.

7) Deliverable D6.10 is now of type "ORDP"

The request is accompanied by the following supporting document(s):

Annex 2

Annex 1

Yours sincerely,

For the coordinator

Pantelis BOTSARIS

Vice Rector